UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/595,153	03/07/2006	Willibald Schurz	078857.0168	3418
31625 BAKER BOTT	7590 10/22/200 S L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPA		ROSENAU, DEREK JOHN		
AUSTIN, TX 7	TO BLVD., SUITE 15 8701-4039	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/595,153	SCHURZ ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Berek v. Rosenau	2004	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	rit, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 (Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	136(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropria ginally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed we have the strength of th	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·	, ,	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	:		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		•	-
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 		ill be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Quyen P Leung/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2834			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Heinz does not disclose that one side of the profiled sheets has first and second surfaces spaced at intervals from one another. However, Heinz discloses first and second contact surfaces, both extending from the bottom side of the profiled sheets (Figs 1, 3, 5, or 6). Applicant argues that Schurz does not disclose a cage material. However, the adhesive 14 in figure 3 of Heinz can be interpreted as a cage material. Applicant argues that the adhesive of Schurz is not a plastic cage material. However, it is Schuh et al. that teaches the plastic cage material. Schurz et al. is cited to show that it is known to fix profiled sheets to the external surface of a cage material formed around a piezoceramic element. Applicant argues that Schurz does not disclose that the profiled sheets are fixed to an external surface of a plastic cage material or that the piezoceramic is positioned in a cavity of the plastic cage. However, it is Schuh that is cited to show the plastic cage and the piezoceramic being positioned in a cavity of that plastic cage, and it is Schurz that is cited to show the profiled sheets being fixed to an external surface of a cage material.